

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address of MIMISSI NER OF PATENTS AND TRACEMARIES
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 172.689	10 14 1998	ERIC BRYAN BISH	UF-206X	9616
23557	7590 03 12 2002			
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION 2421 N.W. 41ST STREET			EXAMINER	
			MCCORMICK, SUSAN B	
SUITE A-1 GAINESVILLE, FL 326066669			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1661	12
			DATE MAILED: 03-12-2002	, , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
OFF: A - 4! O	09/172,689	BISH ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan B. McCormick	1661
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sneet wi	tn the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of atter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stat - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period fo	CATION. of 37 CFR 1 136(a). In no event, however, may a munication.) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt, utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will by statute cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>05 December 2001</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	⊉b)☑ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	for allowance except for formal maice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-17 is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>18-21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abey	vance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are rec		
12)⊡ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
	documents have been received.	
	documents have been received in .	
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office action 	of the priority documents have bee national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) on for a list of the certified copies no	,
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f		
a) The translation of the foreign laid 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional application has	been received.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 12

Application/Control Number: 09/172,689

Art Unit: 1661

Detailed Action

The amendment has been received and entered on December 5, 2001, as paper number 11.

Objection to the Declaration

The objection to the declaration is maintained because non-signing inventor's address contains a misspelling.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

More specifically:

Claim 18 is unclear about the length of the first growing period with the recitation "at least about". Clarification is needed.

Claim Rejections- 35 U.S.C. 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner

Application/Control Number: 09/172,689

Art Unit: 1661

to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 18-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heide in view of Darrow.

Heide teach a method of inducing flowering in strawberry, comprising reducing temperature and photoperiod (pages 22-23). Heide does not teach a method wherein the temperature is at least 30 °C. Darrow teaches that the cultivated strawberry can be grown where even the wild strawberry is not native i.e. Southern California, Florida and parts of India. Temperatures that strawberries can be grown vary greatly from -60°F to 115°F (page 357). It would have been obvious to modify the method of Heide by raising the temperature to adapt the method for use with varieties acclimated to warm temperatures. One would have been motivated to do so, given the knowledge that different strawberry varieties are adapted to different temperatures and photoperiods, as taught by Darrow. Thus the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/172,689

Art Unit: 1661

Future Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick whose telephone number is (703) 305-1682. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. and alternate Fridays from 7:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (703) 308-4205. The fax number for the group is (703) 305-3014 or 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Matrix Customer Service Center whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

sbm

BLATE R. CAMPELL, PH.O SUPERVISORY LATERT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600